

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,863	09/17/2003	Steven Walak	10123/00401	8458	
Patrick J. Fay,	7590 12/10/2007 Esa	EXAMINER			
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P		
Suite 702 150 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10038	1793			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			12/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/666,863	WALAK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
George P. Wyszomierski	1793		

	Ocorge i . wyszornierski	1700	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	Idress
THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	t, affidavit, or other evide ) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the nb). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final rejec	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CF ension and the corresponding am hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final O	priate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see w);	e NOTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>	corresponding number of final		g the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		n-Compliant Amendmen	it (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		] will be entered and ar	n explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-23.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24 and 25.</u>			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant of the second	fails to provide a )(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims at	ter entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allow	ance because:
12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
13.  Other:			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendment to claim 16 denotes new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is not possible to determine what portion(s) of a given material will be subjected to high strain or lesser strain at some indefinite point in the future. However, prior art devices appear to be intended for use in substantially the same manner as the claimed materials. Thus, applicant's attempt to define claimed material by detailing amount of future strain does not overcome rejections based on prior art in the final rejection.